arXiv:1408.3680v1 [astro-ph.EP] 15 Aug 2014

# Large Scale Quasi-geostrophic Magnetohydrodynamics

Alexander M. Balk
Department of Mathematics
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

**Abstract**

We consider the ideal magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) of a shallow fluid layer on a rapidly rotating planet or star. The presence of a background toroidal magnetic field is assumed, and the "shallow water" beta-plane approximation is used. We derive a single equation for the slow large length scale dynamics. The range of validity of this equation fits the MHD of the lighter fluid at the top of Earth's outer core. The form of this equation is similar to the quasi-geostrophic (Q-G) equation (for usual ocean or atmosphere), but the parameters are essentially different. Our equation also implies the inverse cascade; but contrary to the usual Q-G situation, the energy cascades to smaller length scales, while the enstrophy cascades to the larger scales. We find the Kolmogorov-type spectrum for the inverse cascade. The spectrum indicates the energy accumulation in larger scales. In addition to the energy and enstrophy, the obtained equation possesses an extra invariant. Its presence is shown to imply energy accumulation in the 30° sector around zonal flow. With some special energy input, the extra invariant can lead to the accumulation of energy in zonal flow; this happens if the input of the extra invariant is small, while the energy input is considerable.

# 1 Introduction

The behavior of various stars and planets crucially depends on MHD of some shallow fluid layer (fluid shell); examples are the Solar *tachocline* (e.g. [1]) or the Earth *ocean of the core* (the layer of a lighter fluid at the top of the outer core, e.g. [2]). Such situations can be studied using the system of "Shallow Water" MHD [3].

The system includes five (scalar) evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_t + (\mathbf{V}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{V} &= -g\nabla H + (\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{B}, && \text{(1.1a)} \\ H_t + \nabla\cdot(H\mathbf{V}) &= 0, && \text{(1.1b)} \\ \mathbf{B}_t + (\mathbf{V}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{B} &= (\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{V}, && \text{(1.1c)} \end{aligned}$$

subject to the constraint

$$\nabla\cdot(H\mathbf{B}) = 0. \qquad \text{(1.1d)}$$

The equations (1.1) are written in the Cartesian geometry of the $(x, y)$-plane tangent to the fluid shell. The fluid velocity $\mathbf{V}$, the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$, and the fluid depth $H$ are unknown functions of $x, y$, and time $t$. The magnetic field is normalized to have velocity units. In (1.1), the vectors $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ have only two non-zero components, in the plane $(x, y)$; the momentum equation (1.1a) includes the Coriolis force with $\mathbf{f}$=[0,0,f(y)]; $g$ is the gravity constant. Throughout the paper, subscripts $x, y, t$ denote partial derivatives, while superscripts $x, y$ denote vector components. The system (1.1) presents significant simplification over the full system of three-dimensional MHD; and at present, the system (1.1) is well established; see e.g. [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

The system (1.1) has steady solution

$$\mathbf{V} = 0,\ H = H_0,\ B^x = B_0,\ B^y = 0; \qquad \text{(1.2)}$$

it describes a resting fluid layer of uniform thickness $H_0$, penetrated by the uniform toroidal magnetic field $B_0$. In the $f$-plane approximation [when $f(y) \equiv f_0$ constant], the dynamics (1.1) on the background (1.2) has waves of two types [4]:

1. fast waves — "magnetogravity" branch; they reduce to the gravity (or Poincaré) waves (familiar in Geophysical fluid dynamics, e.g. [12]) if $B_0 \to 0$;

2. slow waves — "Alfven" branch; their frequencies vanish if $B_0 \to 0$.

When the latitudinal variation of the Coriolis parameter is taken into account, i.e. on the beta-plane, $f(y) = f_0 + \beta y$, the slow "Alfven" branch further splits into two sub-branches [7]; if the Alfven speed $B_0$ is much smaller than the gravity wave speed $c_g = \sqrt{gH_0}$ and if the typical length scale $\mathcal{L}$ is sufficiently large:

$$\mathcal{L} \gg \ell \equiv \sqrt{B_0/\beta}, \tag{1.3}$$

then one sub-branch represents Rossby waves, slightly modified by the magnetic field, and the other sub-branch represents much slower waves.

In the present paper, we show that in a certain regime (Sect. 2.3.1), the slowest mode (the slow sub-branch of the slow "Alfven" branch) obeys a closed nonlinear equation [Eq (2.19) below]. The form of this equation is similar to the usual Q-G equation (from Geophysical fluid dynamics) or to the Hasegawa-Mima equation (from Plasma physics), but the parameters are very different (Sect. 2). The obtained equation describes the dynamics of interacting waves with dispersion law

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{B_0^2}{\beta} \frac{p\, k^2}{1 + \rho^2 k^2}, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \rho \equiv \frac{B_0 f_0}{\beta c_g} \tag{1.4}$$

and $\mathbf{k} = (p, q)$ is the wave vector ($k^2 = p^2 + q^2$). In its form, the function $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ differs from the usual Rossby wave dispersion law only by a Doppler shift. The nonlinearity in the obtained equation is the same as in the usual Q-G one.

We see in Sect. 2.4 that the regime of validity for this equation is realized in the Earth case.

Unlike the system (1.1), the obtained equation, in addition to the energy, conserves another positive-definite quadratic quantity — enstrophy. This leads to the inverse cascade. However, contrary to the usual Q-G situation, the energy cascades to smaller scales, while the enstrophy cascades to larger scales — Sect. 3.1.

Concentrating on the inverse cascade, we use dimensional considerations to find the Kolmogorov-type spectrum

$$E_k \sim (\beta\, Q)^{1/2}\, k^{-3/2}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $Q$ is the enstrophy flux. The energy integral has infrared divergence on this spectrum, indicating [13] that the energy accumulates at larger scales (similar to the sea wave turbulence) — Sect. 3.2.

We further show that the obtained equation has the extra, adiabatic-like, invariant (Sect. 3.3)

$$I = \int \frac{\eta(\mathbf{k})}{\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}}\, E_{\mathbf{k}}\, d\mathbf{k}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $E_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the energy spectrum, and

$$\eta(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \arctan\frac{q + p\sqrt{3}}{\rho\, k^2} - \arctan\frac{q - p\sqrt{3}}{\rho\, k^2}\,. \tag{1.7}$$

The presence of this invariant implies the concentration of large-scale energy in the 30° sector around zonal flow (Sect. 3.4) and can even lead to the formation of zonal flow (Sect. 3.5).

# 2 Equation for Large Scale Q-G MHD

Studying dynamics on the background (1.2), we assume

$$\mathbf{V} = (v^x, v^y), \;\; H = H_0 + h, \;\; \mathbf{B} = (B_0 + b^x, b^y).$$

## 2.1 Preliminary Considerations: Dominate Balances

We assume the following three dominate balances in the equations (1.1abc).

*Geostrophic balance:* In the momentum equation (1.1a), the Coriolis force and the pressure gradient (due to the fluid height) balance each other, while dominating all other terms; the next biggest term is assumed to be the one with the background magnetic field

$$f v^x \approx -g h_y + B_0 b^y_x, \quad -f v^y \approx -g h_x + B_0 b^x_x. \tag{2.1a}$$

In the continuity equation (1.1b) after substitution of the velocity (2.1a), *the term with gradient* $\beta \equiv f'(y)$ *balances the term with background magnetic field* $B_0$

$$-\frac{\beta g}{f^2} h_x + \frac{B_0}{f}(b^y_x - b^x_y)_x \approx 0.$$

This equation can be integrated in $x$; assuming that $b^y_x - b^x_y$ and $h$ vanish at $x = \infty$, we find

$$h \approx \frac{fB_0}{g\beta}(b^y_x - b^x_y). \tag{2.1b}$$

*Wave balance* in the induction equation (1.1c): The term with time derivative balances the term with background magnetic field

$$b^x_t \approx B_0 v^x_x, \quad b^y_t \approx B_0 v^y_x. \tag{2.1c}$$

The wave balance (2.1c) implies

$$j_t \approx B_0 \zeta_x \,, \tag{2.2}$$

where $j \equiv b^y_x - b^x_y$ and $\zeta \equiv v^y_x - v^x_y$. In the equation (2.2), we express $j$ in terms of $h$ using (2.1b) and substitute geostrophic velocity [given by (2.1a) without the magnetic correction] into $\zeta$

$$h_t \approx \frac{B_0^2}{\beta}\Delta h_x.$$

This equation describes linear waves with dispersion relation

$$\omega \approx \frac{B_0^2}{\beta} pk^2 \quad [h \propto e^{i(px+qy-\omega t)}]. \tag{2.3}$$

Considering corrections beyond the dominate balances, we will find the interaction of these waves and see the energy transfer between waves with different wave vectors $\mathbf{k}$. We will also find correction to the dispersion relation (2.3), which allows for the presence of the extra invariant (in addition to the energy and enstrophy).

Let us consider characteristic scales

$$\begin{aligned} \partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y \sim 1/\mathcal{L}, \quad \partial/\partial t \sim 1/\mathcal{T}, \quad h \sim \mathcal{H}, \\ \mathbf{v} \equiv [v^x, v^y] \sim \mathcal{V}, \qquad \mathbf{b} \equiv [b^x, b^y] \sim \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The three balances (2.1) imply respectively that

$$f\,\mathcal{V} \sim \frac{g\mathcal{H}}{\mathcal{L}}, \qquad \mathcal{H} \sim \frac{fB_0\mathcal{B}}{g\beta\mathcal{L}}, \qquad \frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{T}} \sim \frac{B_0\mathcal{V}}{\mathcal{L}}. \tag{2.5}$$

## 2.2 Momentum and Induction Equations

### 2.2.1 Quasi-geostrophic velocity

The two $x, y$-components of the momentum equation (1.1a) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} f v^x &= -g h_y + B_0 b^y_x + \mathbf{b}\cdot\nabla b^y - v^y_t - \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla v^y, \\ f v^y &= g h_x - B_0 b^x_x - \mathbf{b}\cdot\nabla b^x + v^x_t + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla v^y; \\ &\sim f_0 \mathcal{V}\left\{1 + \frac{\beta\mathcal{L}}{f_0}\left[1 \;+\; \frac{\mathcal{B}}{B_0} \;+\; \frac{\ell^4}{\mathcal{L}^4} \;+\; \frac{\mathcal{B}}{B_0}\frac{\ell^4}{\mathcal{L}^4}\right]\right\}; \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Below these equations we wrote the magnitudes of the corresponding terms (in the right-hand sides), using the characteristic scales (2.4); these magnitudes follow from the dominant balances scaling (2.5); $f_0 \equiv f(0)$. For instance, to estimate the last terms in the right-hand sides of equations (2.6), we note $\mathcal{V} \sim B_0\mathcal{B}/\beta\mathcal{L}^2$ and find

$$\frac{\mathcal{V}^2}{\mathcal{L}} \sim f_0\mathcal{V}\frac{\mathcal{V}}{f_0\mathcal{L}} \sim f_0\mathcal{V}\frac{B_0\mathcal{B}}{f_0\beta\mathcal{L}^3} \sim f_0\mathcal{V}\frac{\beta\mathcal{L}}{f_0}\frac{\mathcal{B}}{B_0}\frac{B_0^2}{\beta^2\mathcal{L}^4};$$

now recall the definition of $\ell$ in (1.3).

### 2.2.2 Magnetic potential

The constraint (1.1d) implies the existence of a function $A(x, y, t)$ such that

$$H B^x = -H_0 A_y, \qquad H B^y = H_0 A_x$$

(here, the constant factor $H_0$ is just for normalization). There is a simple evolution equation for the function $A$

$$A_t + v^x\, A_x + v^y\, A_y = 0; \tag{2.7}$$

a similar equation was derived by Gilman [14] for stratified flow; exactly Eq. (2.7) is given (without detailed derivation) by Zeitlin [11].

Let $A_0(y)$ be the part of the potential $A$ that corresponds to the background (1.2): $A = A_0 + a$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (H_0 + h)(B_0 + b^x) &= -H_0(A_0' + a_y), \\ (H_0 + h) b^y &= H_0 a_x. \end{aligned}$$

So, $A_0' = -B_0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} b^x &= -a_y \quad - \quad \frac{B_0}{H_0}h \quad + \quad \frac{(H_0 a_y + B_0 h)h}{H_0(H_0+h)}, \\ b^y &= a_x \quad - \quad \frac{a_x h}{H_0+h}; \\ &\sim \mathcal{B} \quad + \quad \mathcal{B}\frac{\beta\mathcal{L}}{f_0}\frac{\rho^2}{\mathcal{L}^2} \quad + \quad \mathcal{B}\frac{\beta\mathcal{L}}{f_0}\frac{\mathcal{B}}{B_0}\frac{\rho^2}{\mathcal{L}^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Below the two equations, we wrote the magnitudes of the corresponding terms; to find these, one can note that the magnitude of the potential $a$ is $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{L}$, and

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}}{H_0} \sim \frac{\beta\mathcal{L}}{f_0}\frac{\mathcal{B}}{B_0}\frac{\rho^2}{\mathcal{L}^2}.$$

According to equation (2.7),

$$a_t + v^x\, a_x + v^y\, a_y = B_0 v^y. \tag{2.9}$$

## 2.3 Continuity Equation. Approximation

The continuity equation (1.1b) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} h_t \;&+\; \frac{H_0}{f}[(fv^x)_x + (fv^y)_y - \beta v^y] \\ &+\; (hv^x)_x + (hv^y)_y = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

which will be used to find $v^y$.

### 2.3.1 Small parameters. Considered regime

So far, no approximation has been made. Now we find the fluid velocity by perturbations using the small parameters

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}}{B_0}, \quad \frac{\beta\mathcal{L}}{f_0}, \quad \frac{\rho^2}{\mathcal{L}^2}, \quad \frac{\ell^2}{\mathcal{L}^2}, \tag{2.11}$$

which appear in the asymptotic expansions of the right-hand sides in equations (2.6) and (2.8). To guarantee the smallness of parameters (2.11), we assume weak nonlinearity $\mathcal{B} \ll B_0$ and consider regime

$$\ell,\ \rho \;\ll\; \mathcal{L} \;\ll R_0, \tag{2.12}$$

where $R_0$ is the radius of the spherical fluid shell [the system (1.1) describes dynamics in the plane tangent to this shell]. In Earth's case, $R_0$ is the radius of the core-mantle boundary. The possibility to use the beta-plane approximation is due to the right inequality in (2.12); it also means that $\beta\mathcal{L} \ll f$ (since at mid latitudes, $\beta \sim f/R_0$). The left inequality in (2.12) means that the length scale $\mathcal{L}$ exceeds both lengths $\ell$ and $\rho$, defined in (1.3) and (1.4).

Let us suppose, for instance, that all four ratios (2.11) scale proportional to the same small parameter $\epsilon \to 0$. Then according to (2.8),

$$b^x = -a_y + \mathcal{B}\, O(\epsilon^2), \quad b^y = a_x + \mathcal{B}\, O(\epsilon^2) \tag{2.13}$$

and according to (2.6),

$$f\, v^x = -g\, h_y + B_0\, b^y_x + \mathbf{b}\cdot\nabla b^y + f_0\mathcal{V}\, O(\epsilon^3), \tag{2.14a}$$

$$f\, v^y = g\, h_x - B_0\, b^x_x - \mathbf{b}\cdot\nabla b^x + f_0\mathcal{V}\, O(\epsilon^3). \tag{2.14b}$$

### 2.3.2 Fluid velocity

Now from the continuity equation (2.10)

$$v^y = \frac{B_0}{\beta}\Delta a_x + \frac{1}{\beta}\{a, \Delta a\} + \frac{f}{H_0\beta}h_t + \mathcal{V}\, O(\epsilon^2) \tag{2.15}$$

(we use the Jacobian notation: $\{F, G\} \equiv F_x G_y - F_y G_x$ for arbitrary functions $F, G$). Then from the equation (2.14b)

$$\frac{fB_0}{\beta}\Delta a_x = gh_x + f_0\mathcal{V}\, O(\epsilon),$$

which we integrate in $x$ (assuming $\Delta a$ and $h$ both vanish at $x = \infty$)

$$h = \frac{fB_0}{g\beta}\Delta a + \mathcal{H}\, O(\epsilon). \tag{2.16}$$

We substitute (2.16) into equation (2.15)

$$v^y = \frac{B_0\Delta a_x}{\beta} + \frac{\{a, \Delta a\}}{\beta} + \frac{f^2 B_0 \Delta a_t}{gH_0\beta^2} + \mathcal{V}O(\epsilon^2) \tag{2.17}$$

and into equation (2.14a)

$$v^x = -\frac{B_0}{\beta}\Delta a_y + \mathcal{V}\, O(\epsilon). \tag{2.18}$$

### 2.3.3 The equation for magnetic potential

Finally, we substitute the fluid velocity (2.18), (2.17) into the equation (2.9); and neglect higher order terms

$$(a - \rho^2 \Delta a)_t = \frac{B_0^2}{\beta} \Delta a_x + 2\,\ell^2 \{a, \Delta a\}. \tag{2.19}$$

Note the factor 2 in (2.19): Half of the nonlinear term comes from the left-hand side of Eq. (2.9), and the other half — from the expression (2.17).

The form of the equation (2.19) is very similar to the Q-G potential vorticity equation for usual ocean and atmosphere (e.g. [12]). Indeed, the Galilean transformation

$$a(x, y, t) = \breve{a}(x - u\,t, y, t)$$

turns (2.19) into

$$(\breve{a} - \rho^2 \Delta \breve{a})_t = u\,\breve{a}_x + \left( \frac{B_0^2}{\beta} - u\,\rho^2 \right) \Delta \breve{a}_x + 2\ell^2 \{\breve{a}, \Delta \breve{a}\}. \tag{2.20}$$

With $u = B_0^2/\beta\rho^2$, the equation (2.20) has exactly the form of the widely used Q-G equation. However, the parameters are essentially different: Instead of the Rossby radius of deformation $c_g/f_0$, we have the radius $\rho$ defined in (1.4); instead of the usual $\beta$-parameter, we have $B_0^2/\beta\rho^4$. A similar equation also appears in plasma physics (e.g. [15]), but again, the physical content and parameters are different.

## 2.4 Estimates for the Earth

Although the obtained equation (2.19) can be applied to different situations (for different rapidly rotating planets and stars), it is interesting to estimate the parameters (2.11) for the Earth.

The fine stratification structure of the outer core is not clearly known at present [16]. We assume a model stratification, when the liquid outer core consists of deep heavier fluid and on top of it (near the core-mantle boundary) a shell of lighter fluid (the ocean of the core, e.g. [2]). The upper boundary of the layer is rigid, and the lower boundary is moving.

To make estimates, we take the shallow layer depth $H_0 \sim 50km$ and its relative density deficiency (negative relative density excess) $10^{-4}$, so that the

gravity acceleration $g_0 \approx 10ms^{-2}$ is reduced to the value $g \sim 10^{-3}ms^{-2}$. This gives the gravity wave speed $c_g \sim 7m/s$.

At latitudes about 45°, the Coriolis parameter has value $f_0 \approx 10^{-4}s^{-1}$, and $\beta \approx 3 \times 10^{-11}m^{-1}s^{-1}$. We assume the background toroidal magnetic field corresponding to the Alfven speed $B_0 \approx 0.3m/s$. Then $\ell \approx 100km$ and $\rho \approx 150km$. Since $R_0 \approx 3475km$, the condition (2.12) can be well satisfied; for instance, if $\mathcal{L} \sim 400km$, the small parameters (2.11) take the values

$$\frac{\beta\mathcal{L}}{f_0} \sim \frac{\rho^2}{\mathcal{L}^2} \sim 0.1, \quad \frac{\ell^2}{\mathcal{L}^2} \sim 0.06;$$

the ratio $\mathcal{B}/B_0$ can be small as well, provided the nonlinearity level is low.

# 3 Energy Distribution

## 3.1 Energy and Enstrophy. Cascades

The equation (2.19) — like its modification (2.20) — conserves two positive-definite quadratic integrals

$$\int [a^2 + (\rho\nabla a)^2]\, dxdy, \qquad \int [(\nabla a)^2 + (\rho\Delta a)^2]\, dxdy.$$

In the usual Q-G situation, the first of them is energy, and the second is the enstrophy; but for the dynamics (2.19), the energy and enstrophy switch: The second one is the energy, corresponding to the energy of the "shallow water" MHD (1.1).

We will be interested in cascades in the Fourier space, and so, consider the equation (2.19) in the Fourier representation. To shorten notations, for any wave vector $\mathbf{k}_j$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ we keep only its label $j$; e.g. for the Fourier transform

$$a_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int a(x, y, t)\, e^{i(px+qy)}\, dx\, dy \quad [\mathbf{k} = (p, q)]$$

we have $a_{\mathbf{k}_1} \equiv a_1$. Also, $-j$ stands for $-\mathbf{k}_j$. In the Fourier representation, the evolution of a Fourier harmonic with some wave vector, say $\mathbf{k}_1$, is determined by the interaction with all other Fourier harmonics , and the equation (2.19) takes the form

$$\dot{a}_1 \ = -\Omega_1\, a_1 + \int W_{-1,2,3}\, a_2\, a_3\, d\mathbf{k}_2\, d\mathbf{k}_3, \tag{3.1}$$

where the dispersion law $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given in (1.4), and the coupling kernel is

$$W_{1,2,3} \equiv W(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \\ \ell^2 \, \frac{k_3^2 - k_2^2}{1 + \rho^2 k_1^2} \, (p_2 q_3 - p_3 q_2) \, \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3). \tag{3.2}$$

The equation (3.1) conserves the energy and enstrophy

$$\int k^2 (1 + \rho^2 k^2) \, |a_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \, d\mathbf{k}, \quad \int (1 + \rho^2 k^2) \, |a_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \, d\mathbf{k};$$

if $E_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the energy spectrum, then $F_{\mathbf{k}} = E_{\mathbf{k}}/k^2$ is the enstrophy spectrum. This implies the direct cascade of energy and the inverse cascade of enstrophy (contrary to the usual Q-G case).

## 3.2 Kolmogorov-type Spectrum for the Inverse Cascade

Let us use dimensional considerations to find the turbulence spectrum for the inverse cascade in the dynamics (2.19). Dimensional considerations alone are insufficient, since the equation (2.19) has dimensional parameters. However, we can supplement dimensional considerations by other arguments in the following two ways.

### 3.2.1 Rescaling the dynamical equation

First of all, let us note that the term with $\rho$ in the equation (2.19) is small in the considered regime (2.12), and so, can be neglected for dimensional considerations. Now, we re-scale the dependent variable $\tilde{a} = \ell^2 a$, so that the equation (2.19) is reduced to the equation with only one dimensional parameter

$$\tilde{a}_t = \frac{B_0^2}{\beta} \Delta \tilde{a}_x + 2 \, \{\tilde{a}, \Delta \tilde{a}\};$$

herewith the dimensions of space and time are unaffected. The corresponding energy spectrum $\tilde{E}_k = \ell^4 E_k$, and the corresponding enstrophy flux $\tilde{Q} = \ell^4 Q$. Now we assume

$$\tilde{E}_k = \left( \frac{B_0^2}{\beta} \right)^{\lambda} \, \tilde{Q}^{\mu} \, k^{\nu}$$

with undetermined exponents $\lambda, \mu, \nu$. The turbulence spectrum evolves due to the third order cumulant — which in weakly nonlinear situations — has magnitude proportional to the product of two turbulence spectra. Therefore, $\tilde{Q} \propto \tilde{E}^2$, and $\mu = 1/2$. We have the following dimensions:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| the energy spectrum $E_k$ | — | $m^3/s^2$, |
| the enstrophy spectrum $F_k$ | — | $m^5/s^2$, |
| the energy flux $P$ | — | $m^2/s^3$, |
| the enstrophy flux $Q$ | — | $m^4/s^3$. |

So, the dimensions of $\tilde{E}_k$ and $\tilde{Q}$ are $m^7/s^2$ and $m^8/s^3$ respectively. Now using dimensional considerations, we determine $\lambda = 1/2$, $\nu = -3/2$ and, returning to the original variables, find the Kolmogorov-type spectrum (1.5). Interestingly, the spectrum (1.5) is independent of $B_0$.

### 3.2.2 Using the scaling of the wave kinetic equation

We will arrive at the same spectrum (1.5) when dimensional arguments are supplemented by the scaling implied by the wave kinetic equation (similar to the dimensional estimates made in [13]).

Using the well studied [17, 18, 19, 20] kinetic equation for the Q-G turbulence (of Rossby waves) we have (switching the energy and enstrophy spectra) the wave kinetic equation for our equation (2.19)

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t} = \int \frac{W_{123}(W_{123}F_2F_3 + W_{231}F_3F_1 + W_{312}F_1F_2)}{(1+\rho^2k_1^2)\,(1+\rho^2k_2^2)\,(1+\rho^2k_3^2)} \times\delta(\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{k}_3)\;\delta(\Omega_1+\Omega_2+\Omega_3)\;d\mathbf{k}_2\,d\mathbf{k}_3.$$

We do not care here about numerical factors (like $2\pi$ in front of the integral), since we will only use this equation for dimensional estimates. As well, we can neglect $\rho^2k^2 \ll 1$ [due to the condition (2.12)] in the denominator of the integrand and in $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}$. The inverse cascade corresponds to the enstrophy flux $Q$; the quantity $-Q$ is the enstrophy flux out of large sphere $|\mathbf{k}| \le K$ of big radius $K \to \infty$, and so, $-Q$ is the integral of $\partial F/\partial t$ over this sphere. We also assume that the turbulence (corresponding to the spectrum that will be obtained) is *local*, i.e. the integral in the kinetic equation converges on this spectrum. Then the dimensional considerations suggest

$$Q \sim W^2\;F^2\;k^{-2}\;\left(\frac{B_0^2}{\beta}k^3\right)^{-1}(d\mathbf{k})^3 \sim \frac{\ell^4\,\beta}{B_0^2}\,F^2\,k^9,$$

from where we find

$$F_{\mathbf{k}} \sim\; (\beta\,Q)^{1/2}\,k^{-9/2}$$

and the energy spectrum (1.5).

We see from the dimensional considerations that the energy integral diverges on the Kolmogorov-type spectrum at small $k$. This indicates [13] that the large scales contain most of the energy, which suggests the following picture. Most of the energy follows direct cascade and dissipates at large $k$; but a little fraction of the supplied energy has to transfer to small $k$ (since enstrophy takes energy); and the energy piles up there. A similar situation holds for the sea wave turbulence, where there are direct cascade of energy and inverse cascade of wave action, but the large scales contain most of the energy.

## 3.3 Extra Invariant

A dispersion law $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ is said to be *degenerative* [21] if there exists a function $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that the equations of resonance triad interactions

$$\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 = 0, \quad \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

imply the equation

$$\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

[recall the notational conventions: Index $j = 1, 2, 3$ stands for the wave vector $\mathbf{k}_j$]. The function $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ is supposed to be linear independent of $\mathbf{k}$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$, so that the equation (3.4) is not a mere linear combination of equations (3.3).

The Rossby wave dispersion law

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{p}{1 + \rho^2 k^2} \tag{3.5}$$

turned out to be degenerative [22, 23]. The dispersion law (1.4) is a linear combination of functions (3.5) and $p$, and so, the system (3.3) — with dispersion law (3.5) — is equivalent to the system

$$\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 = 0, \quad \Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_3 = 0$$

with $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ given in (1.4). Therefore, the dispersion law (1.4) is also degenerative with the same $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$, which is equal to the function (1.7). Since the function (1.7) is continuous (for all $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$), the weakly nonlinear dynamics (2.19) possesses the extra invariant (1.6), in addition to the energy and the enstrophy. The conservation of the extra invariant (1.6) is *adiabatic-like*: The quantity $I$ is conserved approximately over long (nonlinear) time. (The extra invariant and its significance for geophysical fluid dynamics are reviewed in [24]).

## 3.4 Inverse Cascade

We will now show that for the dynamics (2.19), the presence of the extra invariant implies the energy accumulation in the sector $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$ (where $\theta$ is the polar angle of the wave vector $\mathbf{k}$). [1]

A similar fact was derived [25] for the turbulence of Rossby waves, but the reasoning here is different. This is because the energy cascade has switched direction.

When $p \to 0$, the function (1.7) behaves like $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}/k^2$ (up to a constant factor), and so, we linearly combine $I$ and $F$ to eliminate the common asymptotics

$$J = I - \frac{2\sqrt{3}\rho\beta}{B_0^2} F = \int \varphi(\mathbf{k})\, E_{\mathbf{k}}\, d\mathbf{k}, \quad \text{where}$$
$$\varphi(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\eta(\mathbf{k})}{\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}} - \frac{2\sqrt{3}\rho\beta}{B_0^2}\frac{1}{k^2} \quad \left[= O(p^2),\ p \to 0\right]. \tag{3.6}$$

Obviously, the integral $J$ is also an invariant of dynamics (2.19), just like the integral $I$; from now on, we will deal with $J$ instead of $I$. Figure 1 shows the function $\varphi(\mathbf{k})$.

Asymptotically for $k \to 0$ (up to a constant factor $\beta/B_0^2$),

$$\varphi(\mathbf{k}) \sim \begin{cases} \dfrac{\pi}{pk^2}, & |\theta| < 60^\circ\,, \\ \dfrac{8\sqrt{3}\,\rho\, p^2}{(q^2-3p^2)k^2}, & 60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ\,; \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

so, $\varphi \sim k^{-3}$ in the sector $|\theta| < 60^\circ$, and $\varphi \sim \rho\, k^{-2}$ in the sector $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$. The expression (3.7) gives a simple approximation for the function (3.6), away from the lines $q = \pm\sqrt{3}\, p$.

Let us consider a simple model situation, when the energy is generated at some scale $k_0$ at a rate $\mathcal{E}_0$ and dissipated at some scales $k_1$ and $k_2$ at rates $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ respectively. We assume $k_1 \ll k_0 \ll k_2$, so that both direct and inverse cascades are realized. The conservation of the energy and the enstrophy gives

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2, \quad \frac{1}{k_0^2}\mathcal{E}_0 = \frac{1}{k_1^2}\mathcal{E}_1 + \frac{1}{k_2^2}\mathcal{E}_2,$$

[1] Since $a_{\mathbf{k}} = a^*_{-\mathbf{k}}$, for considerations of the turbulence spectrum, the set $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$ is equivalent to the sector $60^\circ < \theta < 120^\circ$, and we call the former set also a *sector*.




Figure 1: The values of $\log_{10}(\varphi)$, see (3.6). The black curves are the level lines $\varphi(\mathbf{k}) = 10^n$ for 4 integers $n = -1, -2, -3, -4$. All contour lines pass through the origin tangent to the $q$-axis. For this figure, the parameter $\rho = 0.01$ [so that indeed $\rho^2 k^2 \ll 1$, even for the mode with the biggest $k$, when $\mathbf{k} = (10, 10)$] and $\beta/B_0^2 = 1$.

from where we have

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \frac{1/k_0^2 - 1/k_2^2}{1/k_1^2 - 1/k_2^2}\mathcal{E}_0 \approx \left(\frac{k_1}{k_0}\right)^2 \mathcal{E}_0 \ll \mathcal{E}_0, \tag{3.8}$$

so that indeed a small fraction of the generated energy is transferred towards the origin (most of the energy follows the direct cascade towards large $k$).

We will now arrive at a contradiction if the energy $\mathcal{E}_1$ ends up in the sector $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$. Indeed, the dissipation of energy $\mathcal{E}_1$ in this sector is accompanied by dissipation of the extra invariant $k_1^{-3}\mathcal{E}_1$; the latter cannot exceed the total amount of the extra invariant generated at scale $k_0$: $k_0^{-3}\mathcal{E}_0$ (if some energy was generated in the sector $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$, then the generated amount of the extra invariant is even smaller). Thus, $k_1^{-3}\mathcal{E}_1 < k_0^{-3}\mathcal{E}_0$, which upon substitution of $\mathcal{E}_1$ in terms of $\mathcal{E}_0$ from (3.8) leads to contradiction $k_1 > k_0$. The contradiction still remains if only a significant part (not the entire amount) of $\mathcal{E}_1$ ends up in the sector $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$, but a longer inertial interval might be required.

## 3.5 Zonal Flow

We will now see that for some energy input at the scale $k_0$, the region of energy accumulation is more narrow: The energy accumulates in the vicinity of the $q$-axis, which corresponds to zonal flow (alternating zonal jets).

Suppose that the energy input at the scale $k_0$ consists of the energy source in the sector $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$, and the energy sink in the sector $|\theta| < 60^\circ$ (see Fig. 2), in such a way that the input of the extra invariant is small, while the energy input is significant. Such input is possible because the extra invariant is anisotropic.

To illustrate this situation, let us continue with the above model situation, assuming that the energy is generated at some $\mathbf{k}_+$ at a rate $\mathcal{E}_+$ (in the sector $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$), but dissipated at some $\mathbf{k}_-$ at a rate $\mathcal{E}_-$ (in the sector $|\theta| < 60^\circ$); $|\mathbf{k}_+| \sim |\mathbf{k}_-| \sim k_0$; the dissipation at scales $k_1$ and $k_2$ is still present; Fig. 2. The energy input is $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_+ - \mathcal{E}_-$, and the extra invariant input is $\varphi_+\mathcal{E}_+ - \varphi_-\mathcal{E}_-$. The function $\varphi(\mathbf{k})$ has much bigger values in the sector $|\theta| < 60^\circ$ than in the sector $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$. So, if $\mathcal{E}_+ \gg \mathcal{E}_-$, the energy input will be significant, while the extra invariant input can be small:

$$\frac{\varphi_+\mathcal{E}_+ - \varphi_-\mathcal{E}_-}{\varphi_+\mathcal{E}_+ + \varphi_-\mathcal{E}_-} \ll 1.$$




Figure 2: The energy input at the scale $k_0$ consists of two parts: The energy is generated in the sector $60° < |\theta| < 90°$, but dissipated in the sector $|\theta| < 60°$ ($\theta$ is the polar angle of the wave vector $\mathbf{k}$).

When the energy (and the enstrophy) dissipate at the scale $k_1$, only a small amount of the extra invariant $J$ can be dissipated (less than the total supplied amount of $J$ at scale $k_0$). This is only possible if the dissipation occurs near the $q$-axis [where the function $\varphi(\mathbf{k})$ has small magnitude; $\varphi(\mathbf{k}) = O(p^2)$ as $p \to 0$, see (3.6)]. Thus, the accumulated energy must squeeze near the $q$-axis, which corresponds to zonal flow.

It is interesting to consider this zonal flow generation in light of the results on the $\beta$-plane MHD [26]. To investigate the Solar tachocline, they considered two-dimensional MHD on the $\beta$-plane and looked for the generation of zonal flow. Such flow could limit the angular momentum transport in the solar tachocline and would partially explain the tachocline confinement. However, using direct numerical simulations, they came to the conclusion that "in the absence of magnetic fields, nonlinear interactions of Rossby waves lead to the formation of strong mean zonal flows; but *the addition of even a very weak toroidal field suppresses the generation of mean flows*."

A similar conclusion was reached by for the MHD on a rotating spherical surface [27]. We should note that there is no contradiction between these results and the present paper: They consider the opposite limiting situation, when $\mathcal{L} \ll \rho$ — cf. (2.12).

## 3.6 Remark on More General Dispersion Law

Some other scaling of the ratios (2.11) [different from the one taken in the present paper] can bring into consideration the terms with time derivatives in (2.6). Then the dispersion law would be more general

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{B_0^2}{\beta} \frac{pk^2}{1 + \rho^2 k^2 + \ell^4 k^4}. \tag{3.9}$$

It is interesting that in both limits of long and short waves, this dispersion law is degenerative and admits an extra invariant. Indeed,

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{long}} = \frac{B_0^2}{\beta} \frac{pk^2}{1 + \rho^2 k^2}, \quad \Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{short}} = \frac{B_0^2}{\beta} \frac{p}{\rho^2 + \ell^4 k^2}$$

are both Rossby dispersion laws (Doppler shifted in the first case). However, it seems unlikely that the general dispersion law (3.9) is degenerative. Nevertheless, it appears possible to establish extra conservation in the generalized situation using the smallness of the parameter $\ell$ (so that the approximate conservation of the extra invariant remains within the same bound).

# 4 Conclusion

We have derived a single equation [Eq (2.19)] for the *slow large-scale* MHD of a shallow fluid layer on the beta-plane of a rapidly rotating planet or star. This equation (after Doppler shift) has the form of the quasi-geostrophic equation (familiar in Geophysical fluid dynamics) or the Hasegawa-Mima equation (familiar in Plasma physics), but the physical content and the parameters are very different — Sect. 2. The validity regime of this equation fits *Earth's ocean of the core* — a layer of lighter fluid at the core-mantle boundary — Sect. 2.4.

The derived equation implies two cascades: the direct energy cascade and the inverse enstrophy cascade (contrary to the situation in the usual Q-G equation) — Sect. 3.1. Using the dimensional considerations, we have found the Kolmogorov-type spectrum for the inverse cascade. This spectrum indicates that larger scales contain most of the energy (Sect. 3.2) in agreement with experimental observations; this provides evidence that the obtained equation (2.19) is physically plausible.

The equation (2.19) possesses an extra invariant (in addition to the energy and enstrophy) — Sect. 3.3. Its presence implies the energy accumulation in the sector $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$ — Sect. 3.4. The extra invariant can also imply the formation of zonal flow if the energy input includes the energy source in the sector $60^\circ < |\theta| < 90^\circ$ and its sink in the sector $|\theta| < 60^\circ$ — Sect. 3.5.

Zonal flows also take place in magnetized plasmas; they improve the particles and heat confinement in tokamaks, e.g. [15]. Unlike Earth's outer core, in plasmas, we have some control over the forcing and dissipation. The similarity of equation (2.19) to the Hasegawa-Mima equation suggests a way to generate zonal flows in plasmas. To set up a strong zonal flow, one needs to supplement the energy generation (at scale $k_0$) by its dissipation in the sector $|\theta| < 60^\circ$ (see Fig. 2); herewith one should supply significant energy amount while supplying small amount (as little as possible) of the extra invariant. Such input is feasible, since the extra invariant is essentially anisotropic. It is interesting that the inclusion of the dissipation, far away from the $q$-axis, can lead to very focused zonal jets, when the energy is accumulated very tightly around the $q$-axis (which corresponds to zonal flow). It is unclear how small the input of the extra invariant can be achieved, since we only control increment/decrement, but not the actual generation/dissipation. I plan numerical simulations of the Hasegawa-Mima equation and similar equation (2.19) to investigate how the inclusion of dissipation into the energy input affects the

formation of zonal flows in plasmas and in the large scale quasi-geostrophic MHD.

I wish to thank Peter Weichman, Yuan-Pin Lee, and Lance Miller for beneficial discussions.

# References

[1] D. W. Hughes, R. Rosner, and N. O. Weiss. *The Solar Tachocline*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[2] S. I. Braginsky. Formation of the stratified ocean of the core: A ternary alloy model. *Earth and Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 253:507–512, 2007.

[3] P. A. Gilman. Magnetohydrodynamic "shallow water" equations for the solar tachocline. *ApJ*, 544:L79–82, 2000.

[4] D. A. Schecter, J. F. Boyd, and P. A. Gilman. "shallow water" magnetohydrodynamic waves in the solar tachocline. *ApJ*, 551:L185–188, 2001.

[5] H. De Sterk. Hyperbolic theory of the "shallow water" magnetohydrodynamics equations. *Phys. Plasmas*, 8:3293–3304, 2001.

[6] P. J. Dellar. Dispersive shallow water magnetohydrodynamics. *Phys. Plasmas*, 10:581–590, 2003.

[7] T. V. Zaqarashvili, R. Oliver, J. L. Ballester, and B. M. Shergelashvili. Rossby waves in "shallow water" magnetohydrodynamics. *A&A*, 470:815–820, 2007.

[8] K. Heng and A. Spitkovsky. Magnetohydrodynamic shallow water waves: Linear analysis. *ApJ*, 703:1819–1831, 2009.

[9] O. M. Umurhan. The equations of magnetoquasigeostrophy. *arXiv:1301.0285 [astro-ph.EP]*, 20:893–898, 2013.

[10] K V Karelsky, A S Petrosyan, and S V Tarasevich. Nonlinear dynamics of magnetohydrodynamic shallow water flows over an arbitrary surface. *Phys. Scripta*, 2013(T155):014024, 2013.

[11] V. Zeitlin. Remarks on rptating shallow-water magnetohydrodynamics. *Nonlin. Processes Geophys.*, 20:893–898, 2013.

[12] G. K. Vallis. *Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics. Fundamentals and Large-scale Circulation*. Cambridge, 2006.

[13] V. E. Zakharov. Kolmogorov spectra in problems of weak turbulence. In A. A. Galeev and R. N. Sudan, editors, *Basic plasma physics*, volume 2, chapter 5.3, pages 3–36. Elsevier, 1985.

[14] P. A. Gilman. Stability of baroclinic flows in a zonal magnetic field: Part i. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 24:101–118, 1967.

[15] P. H. Diamond, S.-I. Itoh, and K. Itoh. *Modern Plasma Physics. Vol. 1: Physical Kinetics of Turbulent Plasmas*. Cambridge, 2010.

[16] Kei Hirose, Stphane Labrosse, and John Hernlund. Composition and state of the core. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 41(1):657–691, 2013.

[17] K. Kenyon. Non-linear Rossby waves. *Woods Hole Oceanogr. Summer study Program in Geophys. Fluid Dynamics, Student Lectures*, 2:69–83, 1964.

[18] M. S. Longuet-Higgins and A. E. Gill. Resonance interactions between planetary waves. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 299:120–144, 1967.

[19] G. M. Reznik. On the energy transfer equation for weakly interacting waves. *Inter. J. Non-Linear Mech.*, 19:95–113, 1984.

[20] A.S. Monin and L.I. Piterbarg. A kinetic equation for Rossby waves. *Sov. Phys. - Doklady*, 295:816–820, 1987.

[21] V. E. Zakharov and E. I. Schulman. Degenerative dispersion laws, motion invariants, and kinetic equations. *Physica D*, 10:192–202, 1980.

[22] A. M. Balk, S. V. Nazarenko, and V. E. Zakharov. New invariant for drift turbulence. *Phys. Lett. A*, 152:276–280, 1991.

[23] A. M. Balk. A new invariant for Rossby wave systems. *Phys. Lett. A*, 155:20–24, 1991.

[24] A. M. Balk. The Rossby wave extra invariant in the dynamics of 3D fluid layers and the generation of zonal jets. *Nonlin. Processes Geophys.*, 21:49–59, 2014.

[25] A. M. Balk. Angular distribution of Rossby wave energy. *Phys. Lett. A*, 345:154–160, 2005.

[26] S.M. Tobias, P.H. Diamond, and D.W. Hughes. Beta plane magnetohydrodynamic turbulence in the solar tachocline. *ApJ*, 667:L113–L116, 2007.

[27] S.M. Tobias, K. Dagon, and J.B. Marston. Astrophysical fluid dynamics via direct statistical simulation. *ApJ*, 727:127–145, 2011.